Capacity Building Fund – Round 2

CPP Management Committee - 7 December 2005

Responses

There were five responses to the request for bids this time round – a smaller number than the first round.

The quality of bids had improved significantly. A summary analysis is appended, as are the complete bid submissions.

All the available funds will be allocated if the bids are supported in line with the recommendations below

Recommendations

- To provide the requested amount for he YACES project
- To part fund eh Kintyre Toolkit and Carers Support projects
- To decline the request for funds from the Voluntary Sector Policy group and Nàdair Trust

Brian Barker

Policy and Strategy Manager Argyll and Bute Council 30 November 2005

Capacity Building Fund – summary of submissions

August 2005

Project (inc	Submitted	Additional	Expected	Skills	Amounted	Comment	To fund or
description)	by	partners	benefits	development	requested		not?
. ,		(yes/no who)		(Yes/No)	(max £5k)		
YACES – Young Active Citizens Essential Skills Develop the confidence and skills of young people and engage them in community activity through citizenship training.	Argyll and Bute Volunteer Centre	Bute and Cowal ADG, Links to Learning, Learn Direct, The Prince's Trust, HELP Project, Argyll CVS	Focus on Bute and Cowal CPP pilot area. Target 11-25 year olds to become more confident and active in decision making structures – e.g. community planning, lottery youth panels etc	yes	£3600 – matched by £3790 from partners	Project needs to link to the Youth Forums being developed by the Council	Yes (£3600)
Voluntary Sector – Child Protection Audit of training needs for the voluntary sector with respect to child protection	Voluntary Sector Policy Group	Argyll and Bute Council, Argyll CVS, Argyll and Bute Volunteer Centre	To provide baseline information for development of training	No	£2500	No detail on costs No emphasis on skills	No

Project (inc	Submitted	Additional	Expected	Skills	Amounted	Comment	To fund or
description)	by	partners (yes/no who)	benefits	development (Yes/No)	requested (max £5k)		not?
Working together - Toolkit for Kintyre Produce a toolkit to map out partnership and how organisations link together with associated training	South Kintyre Community Learning Partnership	Campbeltown ADG, Kintyre Healthy Living Partnership, Voluntary Sector Policy Group	Overcome barriers to involvement in planning and decision making Improved working relationships, networks and understanding Encourage local members of partnerships through increased self esteem and knowledge	Yes	£4630 £3475 if pack printed by partners	Uses the National Standards for Community Engagement No matched funding from partners Training needs to be shared and developed with other people rather than restricted to initial participants	Yes – at reduced level of £3475
Support for carers Development of a group to support carers who in turn will support other carers (as 'expert carers')	Dochas Fund	ARMS Centre	Build confidence and skills of 'expert carers' to provide counselling and support to help other carers. Carers will feel valued and so regain confidence and energy.	Yes	£5000 Matched funding of £15000 from other sources	AIE are able to provide some support for skills development. Links with CPP partners, especially related to health and social care is not explicit	Yes - £2500 with suggestion to contact Alan Milstead at AIE to apply for support for the outstanding £2500

Project (inc description)	Submitted by	Additional partners (yes/no who)	Expected benefits	Skills development (Yes/No)	Amounted requested (max £5k)	Comment	To fund or not?
Sustainable development on Atlantic Islands capacity building bursary fund to subsidise assistance provided by Nàdair Support Services	Nàdair Trust	Partners form Nàdair Trust board including VisitScotland, RSPB, Argyll and Bute Council, SNH, AIE and Historic Scotland	Support for groups in the Atlantic Islands on a range of topics, under the general heading of sustainable development of the region's natural and built heritage	Not clear	£5000	Objectives sound good Bursary fund does not fit with the CPP objectives. Groups could have been encouraged to apply directly Little detail with regard to finances Lack of clarity on how capacity will be built – the direct beneficiaries are not clear	No